



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,001	12/08/2005	Atsushi Tomozawa	5077-000249/US/NP	2768
53800 7590 01/06/2009 GREGORY A. STOBBS 5445 CORPORATE DRIVE SUITE 400 TROY, MI 48098				
EXAMINER				
WITKOWSKI, ALEXANDER C				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2853				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/06/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center;">Interview Summary</p>	Application No. 10/560,001	Applicant(s) TOMOZAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner ALEXANDER C. WITKOWSKI	Art Unit 2853	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ALEXANDER C. WITKOWSKI. (3) TIMOTHY D. MacINTYRE.
 (2) JULIAN HUFFMAN. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,402,304; Cheng et al. (Thin Solid Films, Vol.385); Sumi et al. (Thin Solid Films, Vol.315).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested clarification of the outstanding rejection and the examiner explained the bases for the rejection. Applicant was particularly interested in determining the sources of Examiner's PZT crystal dimensions. Examiner's interpretation of references Cheng et al. and Sumi et al. were discussed in detail with the Applicant. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Julian D. Huffman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2853
--	--